The structure and meaning of (seeming) OBJ-sharing SVCs in Igbo and Akan:

Mary Amaechi (University of Ibadan), Reginald Akuoko Duah (University of Ghana at Legon & Humboldt Universität zu Berlin), Malte Zimmermann (Universität Potsdam)

Applying three novel semantic diagnostics, we compare (seeming) OBJ-sharing transitive SVCs in Akan (1) and Igbo (2a). We first look at Igbo SVCs with and w/o OBJ-sharing in (2ab).

- (1) Kweku kù-ù àkókó nó nòá-àyè. [Akan, SVC with OBJ-prodrop] Kweku kill-PST chicken DEF cook-PST 'Kweku killed a chicken and cooked it.'
- (2) a. Úchè gbù-rù òkúkò sí-é. [Igbo, OBJ-sharing]
 b. Úchè gbù-rù òkúkò sí-é 'yá. [Igbo, no OBJ-sharing]
 Uche kill-PST chicken cook-PST 3SG
 'Uche killed and cooked the chicken.' / 'Uche killed the chicken and cooked it.'

Applying the semantic criteria of [CONTRARY ADVERBS], [ADVERBIAL QUANTIFICATION], and [CUMULATIVITY] (authors), we show that (2b) involves *covert conjunction* of two independent propositions (Stewart 1998) plus ATB-movement of the subject (Ross 1967), (3b). In contrast, (2a) is a true instance of an OBJ-sharing transitive SVC with a single subject and head-complement structure (Collins 1997, Stewart 1998) (3a):

(3) a. [TP Uche₁ [vP t₁ [vP killv₁ [vP cookv₂ chicken]]] [OBJ-sharing]
b. [TP Uche₁ [vP [vP₁ t₁ kill chicken_i] & [vP₂ t₁ cook it_i]]] [covert conjunction]

V1 and V2 in (3a) form a complex VP denoting one complex process event that materially consists of two subevents of killing a chicken and cooking it. Crucially, the subevents are material subparts and not parts of a plural event, as this SVC-type does not exhibit cumulativity effects typical of semantically plural events.

With Akan we face the complication that it exhibits prodrop with *inanimate* OBJ-pronouns (Campbell 1998, Korsah & Murphy 2020, Hein & Georgi 2021), unlike Igbo, which does not license prodrop. This makes it difficult to assess whether (1) involves true OBJ-sharing (4a) or covert conjunction (4b).

- $(4) \quad a. \ \left[{_{VP}}\ SUBJ\left[{_{VP}}\ V1\ OBJ\ V2 \right] \right.$
 - b. $[TP SUBJ_1 [vP [vP1 t_1 V1 OBJ_i] \& [vP2 t_1 V2 pro_i]]]$

Applying the semantic diagnostics from above, we show that Akan (1) involves covert conjunction with OBJ-prodrop in (4b), rather than true OBJ-sharing. This corroborates earlier findings on *accusative SVCs* in Campbell (1996).

Akan SVCs with transitive verbs and *animate* object DPs, which cannot be pro-dropped in Akan (Korsah & Murphy 2020), differ from the inanimate case in (1) in two ways: First, they do not compose compositionally, but only allow for idiomatic readings, cf. (5a). This points to a syntax with a complex VP constituent supporting the idiomatic meaning, as in (4a). Second, this SVC-type does not structurally license the occurrence of two adverbs, unlike the inanimate OBJ-SVC in (1), which does, (5b).

- (5) a. Mè gyè-è **nó** (*ntɛm so) dì-ì nkakrankakra. 1SG take-PST 3SG.OBJ quickly top eat-PST slowly 'Bit by bit, I believed (in) him/her.'
 - b. Kweku kù-ù **àkókó nó** ntem so nòá-àyè (nò) nkakrankakra. Kweku kill-PST chicken DEF quickly top cook-PST 3SG.OBJ slowly 'Kweku killed a chicken quickly and cooked it slowly.'

To conclude, Igbo and Akan differ in that Akan only makes limited use of true OBJ-sharing transitive SVCs, namely with animate object DPs on an idiomatic interpretation.

References: Campbell, R. (1996). Serial Verbs and shared arguments. *The Linguistic Review* 13: 83-118. Campbell, R. (1998). A note on subject clitics in Akan. *Studies in African linguistics*, 27(1), 50–66. Collins, C. (1997). Argument sharing in serial verb constructions. Linguistic Inquiry 28(3), 461–497. Hein, J. and Georgi, D. (2021). Asymmetries in Asante Twi A'-movement: On the role of noun type in resumption. In Farinella, A. and Hill, A., editors, Proceeding of NELS 51, page 223–236, Amherst, MA. GLSA. Korsah, S. and Murphy, A. (2020). Tonal reflexes of movement in asante Twi. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory*, 38:827–885. Ross, J. R. (1967). *Constraints on variables in syntax*. PhD dissertation, MIT, Cambridge, MA. Stewart, O. T. (1998). The serial verb construction parameter. PhD dissertation, McGill, Montréal. Published (2001) in Garland Outstanding Dissertation Series. New York, NY: Garland.